at Modern Jewellery Mart, VIII Cross, Vani Vilas Mohalla, Mysore. ... ... *Petitioners.*

vs.

H. D. Ganapathy, son of Hosapatnada Durgappa and brother of the deceased, residing at Hosapatna, Honnavar, North Canara, 35 years ... *Respondent.*

In the matter of the estate of H. D. Lakshmana Rao *Deceased.*

Whereas the above petitioners Panduranga and others, residents of Mysore, claiming to be the successors of H. D. Lakshmana Rao, deceased have applied to this Court for a Certificate under Section 372 of the Indian Succession Certificate Act to collect debts payable in respect of the estate of H. D. Lakshmana Rao, deceased.

Notice is hereby given of the said application and all the claimants in the estate of the said H. D. Lakshmana Rao, deceased are invited to appear in this Court on the 28th day of January 1952, when the petition of the said Panduranga and others will be heard and the right to the certificate will be determined.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this the 15th day of December 1951.

SCHEDULE.

Share Certificate No. 15, standing in the name of H. D. Lakshmana Rao, consisting of two shares of the value of Rs. 1,000 each, in the North Canara Trades and Investments, Limited, Honnavar, North Canara, Bombay Presidency, totalling Rs. 2,000.

M. MUNISWAMAIYA,
1413 *Subordinate Judge.*

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF AT HOLE-NARSIPUR.

Miscellaneous Case No. 72 *of* 1951-52

Govindaiah, resident of Konanakoppalu, annexed to Ankanahalli, Magge Hobli, Arkalgud Taluk. *Petitioner.*

vs.

Era, son of Era, resident of same village ... *Respondent.*

(1) Malla, aged 12 years, (2) Honna, aged 6 years, sons of Sannamallegowda, resident of the same village. Minor wards.

Application for the appointment of guardian to the person and properties of minor wards.

The petitioner abovenamed having applied to be appointed as guardian of the person and properties of the aforesaid minors, the 10th day of January 1952 has been fixed for the hearing of the application, and notice is hereby given to the public that if any other relative, friend, kinsman, or well-wisher of the aforesaid minors desire to be appointed or declared as guardian of the persons and properties of the minors, he should enter appearance in person in this Court on the aforesaid date, and be prepared to adduce on that day, any documentary and oral evidence he may desire to adduce in support of his claim to such appointment or declaration.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 22nd day of December 1951.

1419

Miscellaneous Case No. 92—1951-52.

Veerajamma, wife of Devanna, resident of Keragodu Village, Ramanathpur Hobli, Arkalgud Taluk ... ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

Madamma, daughter-in-law of petitioner, resident of the same village ... *Respondent.*

1 Dyavamma, grand-daughter of Veerajamma (Petitioner),
2 Dyavaji, grandson of Veerajamma, minor wards.

Application for the appointment of guardian to the properties of minors.

The petitioner having applied to this Court to appoint the Deputy-Commissioner, Hassan District, as the guardian of the minors' properties, the 10th day of January 1952 has been fixed for the hearing of the application and notice is hereby given to the public that if any other relative, friend, kinsman or well-wisher of the aforesaid minor desire to be appointed or declared as guardian of the properties of the said minors, he should enter appearance in person in this Court on the aforesaid date, and be prepared to adduce on that day any documentary and oral evidence he may desire to adduce in support of his claim to such appointment or declaration.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 22nd day of December 1951.

1420 V. NARASIMHA MURTHY, *Munsiff.*

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, STORES PURCHASE COMMITTEE, "UNITED INDIA" BUILDING, BANGALORE.

Dated 14th December 1951.

To

All the Indenting Officers.

Re :—Railway priority for the movement of Goods.

Sir,

No. S.P.C. 34572-722. With reference to the above, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. P.T. 1 (1) 51, dated 12th May 1951, received from the Deputy Director of Traffic, Railway Board, Ministry of Railways, Government of India, for your information and necessary action, when required.

Yours faithfully,
N. LAKSHMAN RAU,
6411 *Secy., S.P.C.*

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS.

(RAILWAY BOARD.)

New Delhi, the 12*th May* 1951.

To

All Ministries of Government of India,
All Chief Commissioners,
Chief Secretaries of all State Governments including Rajasthan, Mysore, Hyderabad, Madhya Bharat, Saurashtra and Pepsu.

No. P.T. 1 (1)—51. With reference to the Railway Board's letter No. P.T. 1 (1)—50, dated 25th March 1950, to General Managers of Indian Railways, a copy of which was endorsed to you, I am directed to state that since the winding up of the old priority organisation on 31st March 1950 the Indian Railways have reverted to the pre-war system of booking traffic on the "first come first served" principle according to which wagons are allotted in the order in which the indents are registered at the despatching station. Since the rail transport position on certain metre-gauge railways and occasionally on broad-gauge railways does not yet permit the movement of all traffic offering concurrently, a system of preferential movement of traffic has been introduced under Section 27A of the Indian Railways Act, the outlines of which were given in the Railway Board's letter quoted above and in the subsequent Railways' "general orders" on preferential traffic, copies of which have also been endorsed to you.

2 With a view to expeditious disposal of requests for preferential movements, the Ministry of Railways request that instructions may kindly be issued to all concerned on the lines indicated below :—

(*a*) When difficulties are experienced in securing rail transport they should be brought to the notice of the District/Divisional Officer and if necessary, personally to the Head of the Operating Branch of the Railway on which the despatching station is situated by your local appropriate representative in the area from which the traffic is to be forwarded. Should the Railway Officer mentioned not be able to arrange the movement within a reasonable time, a reference may be made to the Railway Board with the following particulars as far as possible :—

(1) Station from ..... Rly.
(2) Station to ..... Rly.
(3) Weight of consignment.....
(4) To whom consigned .....
(5) Movement sponsored by .....
(6) No. of packages.....
(7) No. and type of wagons required .....
(8) Date on which demand registered.....
(9) Has the demand been already partially met and, if so, to whated extent.....
(10) The date by which the movement is desired to be completed.....
(11) Reasons for urgency and why the consignment cannot be waited for movement in the ordinary course .....

NOTE.—When movements are put back or interrupted by temporary restrictions imposed by the Railways for operational reasons, it will be appreciated that the resultant delay is inevitable. The railways are themselves anxious to reduce the duration of the restriction to the minimum consistent with the requirements of railway operation.

(*b*) Demands must in all cases be registered at the despatching stations as soon as they are finalised so that they are reckoned in their turn for allotment of wagon in the ordinary course also. It would no